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## Work absences in 2010

## Sharanjit Uppal

There are many kinds of absence. Some, like annual vacation, are generally considered beneficial for both the organization and the employee. Since they are usually scheduled, their effect on the organization can be fairly easily absorbed; the same can be said of statutory holidays. Other absences, for instance those caused by illness and family-related demands, are generally unavoidable, as are those due to inclement weather.

Absenteeism, a term used to refer to absences that are avoidable, habitual and unscheduled, is a source of irritation to employers and co-workers. Such absences are disruptive to proper work scheduling and output, and costly to organizations and the economy as a whole. Although absenteeism is widely acknowledged to be a problem, it is not easy to quantify. The dividing line between avoidable and unavoidable is difficult to draw, and absenteeism generally masquerades as legitimate absence. The Labour Force Survey (LFS) can provide measures of time lost because of personal reasons-that is, illness or disability, and personal or family responsibilities. However, within these categories, it is impossible to determine if an absence is avoidable or unscheduled. LFS data on absences for personal reasons can, however, be analyzed to identify patterns or trends that indicate the effect of absenteeism (see Data source and definitions).

## Recent trends-2000 to 2010

In the first half of the decade, both the incidence and the number of days lost for personal reasons (illness or disability, and personal or family responsibilities) trended upwards. In the latter half of the decade, the rates were flat or declined slightly. As a result, absence rates were somewhat higher in 2010 than in 2000.

[^0]
## Chart Work absence rates, 2000 to 2010



Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

In an average week in 2000, excluding women on maternity leave, ${ }^{1} 6.3 \%$ of all full-time employees holding one job were absent from work for all or part of the week for personal reasons. By 2010, the figure had risen to $8.0 \%(879,000)$ (Table 1). Total work time missed also rose, from $3.2 \%$ of the scheduled week in 2000 to $3.6 \%$ in 2010 ; this was slightly down from 2009. Extrapolated over the full year, work time
lost for personal reasons increased from the equivalent of 8.0 days per worker in 2000 to 9.1 days in 2010.

## Variations in absence rates in 2010

Absence for personal reasons differs among various worker groups. Several factors are responsible, principally working conditions (physical environment, degree of job stress, employer-employee relations, collective agreement provisions and work schedules); adequacy and affordability of community facilities like child care centres and public transportation; family circumstances, especially the presence of preschool children or other dependent family members; and physical health of the worker, a factor closely related to age. Measuring the effects of these and other contributing factors is not easy since many are not captured by the LFS. However, some insight is gained by examining personal absences in 2010 by selected demographic characteristics, occupation and industry, and other attributes like union and job status.

## Demographic differences

In 2010, excluding women on maternity leave and men on parental leave, an estimated $8.0 \%$ of full-time employees missed some work each week for personal reasons: $5.7 \%$ for own illness or disability, and $2.3 \%$ for personal or family responsibilities (Table 2). As a result, full-time employees lost $3.6 \%$ of their work time each week.

On average, each full-time employee lost 9.1 days in 2010 for personal reasons (7.4 for own illness or disability plus 1.7 for personal or family demands). This amounted to an estimated 100 million work days for all full-time employees. Men lost fewer days than women- 7.6 ( 6.2 for illness or disability plus 1.4 for personal or family demands) versus 11.0 ( 8.9 plus 2.1).
The presence of preschool-age children exerts a strong influence on work absences for personal or family responsibilities. In 2010, full-time employees in families with at least one preschool-age child lost an average of 3.1 days, compared with only 1.4 for those in families without children.

Work days missed because of illness or disability tended to rise with age, from an average of 4.7 days for youth ( 15 to 19 ) to 11.2 for full-time employees age 55 to 64 .

## Industry and sector

Work absence rates differ by sector (public or private) and industry, with almost all of the difference arising from illness and disability absences (Table 3). Contributing factors include the nature and demands of the job, the male-female composition of the workforce, and union density-the last being a strong determinant of the presence of paid sick or family leave.

Full-time employees in the public sector (more likely unionized or female) lost more work time (11.8 days) in 2010 for personal reasons than their private-sector counterparts ( 8.2 days).

At the major (2-digit) industry level, the most work days were missed by employees in health care and social assistance (13.4 days), public administration (11.8) and transportation and warehousing (10.8).

The lowest averages were recorded by full-time workers in professional, scientific and technical services (5.4), primary industries (7.0) and construction (7.3).

## Occupation

Contributing factors for absence rates by occupation are similar to those for industry (Table 4). Again, as by major industry, differences arise mainly from time lost due to illness or disability.

The most days lost in 2010 were recorded for fulltime employees in health occupations (13.9) and occupations unique to production (11.1). Workers in management (5.8), natural and applied sciences (6.5), and culture and recreation (6.7) recorded the fewest days lost.

## Union coverage, job status, workplace size and job tenure

Full-time workers who belonged to unions or were covered by collective agreements missed more work days on average in 2010 for personal reasons than their non-unionized counterparts (12.9 versus 7.3) (Table 5).

Workers with permanent jobs (more likely to be unionized) lost more work days (9.3) than those whose jobs were not permanent (6.7).

Days lost tended to rise with workplace size, increasing from a low of 7.3 in workplaces with fewer than 20 employees (firms more likely to have low union rates) to 11.1 in workplaces with more than 500 employees (firms likely to have high union rates).

## Data source and definitions

The data in this article are revised ${ }^{2}$ annual averages from the Labour Force Survey (LFS). They refer to full-time employees holding only one job. Part-time, self-employed and unpaid family workers are excluded because they generally have more opportunities to arrange their work schedules around personal or family responsibilities. Multiple job holders, too, are excluded because it is not possible, using LFS data, to allocate time lost, or the reason for it, to specific jobs. Women on maternity leave are also excluded. However, men using paid paternity (in Quebec only) and parental leave are included in the calculation until 2006.
Some human resource practitioners exclude persons on longterm illness or disability leave (exceeding one year) from their attendance management statistics. Such persons are, however, included in Statistics Canada's work absence estimates if they count themselves as employed (that is, they continue to receive partial or full pay from their employers). In 2010, the number of employed persons on such long-term illness or disability leave averaged 28,100 in a typical week. Their exclusion would have reduced the weekly work absence incidence for illness or disability from $5.7 \%$ to $5.4 \%$, the inactivity rate from $2.9 \%$ to $2.7 \%$, and days lost per worker that year from 7.4 to 6.7 .
Personal reasons for absence are split into two categories: 'own illness or disability' and 'personal or family responsibilities' (caring for own children, caring for elder relative, and other personal or family responsibilities). Absences for these two types of reasons represented $27 \%$ of all time lost by full-time paid workers each week in 2010. Vacations, which accounted for $40 \%$ of total time away from work, are not counted in this article, nor are statutory holidays, which represented 15\%. Maternity/parental leave represented $12 \%$ and other reasons, $6 \%$. The incidence of absence is the percentage of full-time paid workers reporting some absence in the reference week. In calculating
incidence, the length of work absence-whether one hour, one day, or one full week-is irrelevant.
The inactivity rate shows hours lost as a proportion of the usual weekly hours of full-time paid workers. It takes both the incidence and length of absence in the reference week into account.
Days lost per worker are calculated by multiplying the inactivity rate by the estimated number of working days in the year (250).

## Reasons for work absences in the LFS

The LFS sets out the following reasons for being away from work:

- own illness or disability
- caring for own children
- caring for elder relative ( 60 years or over)
- maternity leave (women only)
- parental leave (men only and starting in 2007)
- other personal or family responsibilities
- vacation
- labour dispute (strike or lockout)
- temporary layoff due to business conditions
- holiday (legal or religious)
- weather
- job started or ended during week
- working short time (for example, because of material shortages, or plant maintenance or repair)
- other

Personal or family responsibilities include caring for own children, caring for elder relative, and other personal or family responsibilities.

Days lost tended to rise with job tenure, with almost all the differences arising from illness and disability. Employees with tenure of up to one year lost 6.2 days, while those with over 14 years lost 11.3 days (the latter group was also likely older).

## Province and CMA

Work absence levels differed by geographic area (Table 6), with most of the variation again arising from illness or disability.
Full-time employees in Newfoundland and Labrador (11.0) lost the most work time in 2010, followed by those in New Brunswick, Quebec and Manitoba (10.4 each). Those in Alberta (8.1) and Ontario (8.2) lost the least.

Among the census metropolitan areas, Gatineau (12.9), Thunder Bay (11.5) and Sherbrooke (11.4) lost the most days per full-time worker. Calgary (7.1), Toronto (7.2) and Saskatoon (7.7) lost the least.
$\square$ Notes Perspectives

1. Exclusion of maternity leave started in 1997 with the introduction of the revised Labour Force Survey questionnaire.
2. A standard revision has been applied to Labour Force Survey (LFS) estimates, as announced in The Daily on January 28, 2011. Beginning with this release, historical comparisons of work absence estimates produced by the LFS must be made with revised historical data. For an overview of these changes, see Improvements to the Labour Force Survey (LFS) - 2011: The 2011 Revisions of the Labour Force Survey (LFS) (http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/ 71f0031x/71f0031x2011001-eng.pdf).

Table 1 Absence rates for full-time employees by sex, 2000 to 2010¹

|  | Incidence ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Inactivity rate ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Days lost per worker in year ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities | Total | Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities | Total | Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities |
|  | \% |  |  | \% |  |  | days |  |  |
| Both sexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 8.0 | 6.7 | 1.3 |
| 2001 | 7.0 | 5.3 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 1.5 |
| 2002 | 7.8 | 5.6 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 1.7 |
| 2003 | 7.5 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 9.2 | 7.5 | 1.7 |
| 2004 | 7.6 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 9.2 | 7.5 | 1.7 |
| 2005 | 8.3 | 6.0 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 9.7 | 7.8 | 1.8 |
| 2006 | 8.2 | 5.8 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 0.9 | 9.7 | 7.6 | 2.1 |
| 2007 | 8.6 | 6.2 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 9.9 | 8.1 | 1.8 |
| 2008 | 8.5 | 6.1 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 1.8 |
| 2009 | 8.0 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 9.5 | 7.8 | 1.7 |
| 2010 | 8.0 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 1.7 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 5.5 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 7.0 | 5.9 | 1.1 |
| 2001 | 6.1 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 7.6 | 6.4 | 1.3 |
| 2002 | 6.7 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 8.0 | 6.5 | 1.6 |
| 2003 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 8.2 | 6.6 | 1.5 |
| 2004 | 6.6 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 1.6 |
| 2005 | 7.2 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 8.6 | 6.9 | 1.7 |
| 2006 | 7.2 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 8.7 | 6.7 | 1.9 |
| 2007 | 7.3 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 8.4 | 6.8 | 1.6 |
| 2008 | 7.3 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 8.2 | 6.7 | 1.6 |
| 2009 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 8.1 | 6.6 | 1.5 |
| 2010 | 6.7 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 1.4 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 7.5 | 5.7 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 9.4 | 7.9 | 1.5 |
| 2001 | 8.2 | 6.2 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 9.8 | 8.0 | 1.8 |
| 2002 | 9.2 | 6.7 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 10.7 | 8.7 | 1.9 |
| 2003 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 10.7 | 8.8 | 1.9 |
| 2004 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 10.9 | 9.0 | 1.9 |
| 2005 | 9.6 | 7.0 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 11.2 | 9.2 | 2.0 |
| 2006 | 9.5 | 6.8 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 11.2 | 8.8 | 2.4 |
| 2007 | 10.3 | 7.5 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 12.0 | 9.9 | 2.1 |
| 2008 | 10.2 | 7.3 | 2.8 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 11.8 | 9.6 | 2.2 |
| 2009 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 11.4 | 9.3 | 2.0 |
| 2010 | 9.6 | 6.9 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 11.0 | 8.9 | 2.1 |

1. Excluding maternity leave. However, men on paid paternity (in Quebec only) or parental leave are included in the calculation until 2006.
2. Absent workers divided by total.
3. Hours absent divided by hours usually worked.
4. Inactivity rate multiplied by working days in year (250).

Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table $2 \begin{aligned} & \text { Absence rates for full-time employees by sex, age, education and presence of } \\ & \text { children, } 2010^{1}\end{aligned}$

|  | Incidence ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Inactivity rate ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Days lost per worker in year ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities | Total | Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities | Total | Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities |
|  |  | \% |  |  | \% |  |  | days |  |
| Age ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 8.0 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 1.7 |
| 15 to 19 | 6.0 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 1.2 |
| 20 to 24 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 1.4 |
| 25 to 34 | 7.9 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 7.6 | 5.7 | 1.9 |
| 35 to 44 | 8.2 | 5.6 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 8.7 | 6.8 | 2.0 |
| 45 to 54 | 7.9 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 9.8 | 8.4 | 1.5 |
| 55 to 64 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 12.8 | 11.2 | 1.6 |
| 65 and over | 8.0 | 5.9 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 12.6 | 10.5 | 2.1 |
| Men | 6.7 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 1.4 |
| 15 to 19 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 1.1 |
| 20 to 24 | 5.9 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 1.3 |
| 25 to 34 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 5.9 | 4.3 | 1.6 |
| 35 to 44 | 6.8 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 7.2 | 5.7 | 1.5 |
| 45 to 54 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 8.0 | 6.7 | 1.2 |
| 55 to 64 | 8.0 | 6.3 | 1.7 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 11.9 | 10.6 | 1.2 |
| 65 and over | 8.0 | 5.9 | 2.1 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 13.1 | 10.8 | 2.3 |
| Women | 9.6 | 6.9 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 11.0 | 8.9 | 2.1 |
| 15 to 19 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 1.4 |
| 20 to 24 | 7.3 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 1.5 |
| 25 to 34 | 9.9 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 0.9 | 9.9 | 7.6 | 2.4 |
| 35 to 44 | 10.0 | 6.8 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 10.7 | 8.2 | 2.5 |
| 45 to 54 | 9.5 | 7.2 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 12.1 | 10.3 | 1.8 |
| 55 to 64 | 10.1 | 7.8 | 2.3 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 14.1 | 12.0 | 2.1 |
| 65 and over | 8.0 | 6.0 | F | 4.7 | 4.0 | F | 11.8 | 9.9 | F |
| Educational attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 8.0 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 1.7 |
| Less than grade 9 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 2.0 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 13.5 | 11.8 | 1.8 |
| Some high school | 8.5 | 6.3 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 11.0 | 9.3 | 1.7 |
| High school graduation | 7.8 | 5.8 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 9.6 | 8.0 | 1.6 |
| Some postsecondary | 8.2 | 6.0 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 9.5 | 8.0 | 1.6 |
| Postsecondary certificate or diploma | 8.4 | 5.9 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 1.8 |
| University degree | 7.2 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 6.9 | 5.1 | 1.7 |
| Presence of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 8.0 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 1.7 |
| With children | 8.4 | 5.5 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 9.1 | 7.0 | 2.1 |
| Preschoolers under 5 years | 9.7 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 9.5 | 6.3 | 3.1 |
| 5 to 12 years | 8.3 | 5.5 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 8.7 | 6.9 | 1.8 |
| 13 years and over | 7.6 | 5.6 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 1.6 |
| Without children | 7.7 | 5.8 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 1.4 |

[^1]Table 3 Absence rates for full-time employees by industry and sector, $2010^{1}$

|  | Incidence ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Inactivity rate ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Days lost per worker in year ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities | Total | Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities | Total | Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities |
|  |  | \% |  |  | \% |  |  | days |  |
| All industries | 8.0 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 1.7 |
| Public employees | 10.2 | 7.5 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 11.8 | 9.7 | 2.0 |
| Private employees | 7.3 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 8.2 | 6.6 | 1.6 |
| Goods-producing | 7.2 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 8.5 | 6.9 | 1.6 |
| Primary | 5.6 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 7.0 | 5.1 | 1.9 |
| Agriculture | 6.5 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 7.3 | 4.7 | 2.6 |
| Other | 5.4 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 1.7 |
| Utilities | 7.2 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 8.7 | 7.0 | 1.6 |
| Construction | 6.6 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 1.6 |
| Manufacturing | 8.0 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 9.5 | 7.9 | 1.5 |
| Durable | 8.0 | 5.6 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 9.2 | 7.5 | 1.7 |
| Non-durable | 7.9 | 5.8 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 9.9 | 8.5 | 1.4 |
| Service-producing | 8.2 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 9.3 | 7.5 | 1.7 |
| Trade | 7.1 | 5.1 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 8.1 | 6.6 | 1.5 |
| Wholesale | 6.6 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 7.0 | 5.6 | 1.3 |
| Retail | 7.3 | 5.3 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 8.6 | 7.0 | 1.6 |
| Transportation and warehousing | 7.5 | 5.9 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 10.8 | 9.5 | 1.3 |
| Finance, insurance, real estate and leasing | 7.4 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 8.0 | 6.3 | 1.6 |
| Finance and insurance | 7.6 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 8.2 | 6.6 | 1.6 |
| Real estate and leasing | 6.9 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 7.4 | 5.6 | 1.8 |
| Professional, scientific and technical | 6.7 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 5.4 | 3.8 | 1.6 |
| Business, building and support services | 9.0 | 6.8 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 10.4 | 8.8 | 1.6 |
| Educational services Health care and | 9.2 | 6.5 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 2.0 |
| social assistance | 10.2 | 7.8 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 13.4 | 11.2 | 2.2 |
| Information, culture and recreation | 7.4 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 7.6 | 6.3 | 1.3 |
| Accommodation and food services | 6.2 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 8.0 | 6.2 | 1.8 |
| Other services | 6.4 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 6.3 | 4.9 | 1.4 |
| Public administration | 11.2 | 7.9 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 11.8 | 9.5 | 2.4 |
| Federal | 13.5 | 9.2 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 13.3 | 10.2 | 3.1 |
| Provincial | 10.6 | 7.9 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 0.8 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 2.0 |
| Local, other | 8.4 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 9.6 | 7.9 | 1.7 |

1. Excluding maternity leave. However, men on paid paternity (in Quebec only) or parental leave are included in the calculation until 2006.
2. Absent workers divided by total.
3. Hours absent divided by hours usually worked.
4. Inactivity rate multiplied by working days in year (250).

Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 4 Absence rates for full-time employees by occupation, $2010^{1}$

|  | Incidence ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Inactivity rate ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Days lost per worker in year ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities | Total | Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities | Total | Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities |
|  | \% |  |  | \% |  |  | days |  |  |
| All occupations | 8.0 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 1.7 |
| Management | 5.6 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 5.8 | 4.5 | 1.3 |
| Business, finance and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professional | 7.2 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 1.6 |
| Financial and administrative | 9.1 | 5.9 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 2.0 |
| Clerical | 9.7 | 6.9 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 10.6 | 8.6 | 2.0 |
| Natural and applied sciences | 7.3 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 1.7 |
| Health | 10.2 | 7.9 | 2.3 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 13.9 | 11.8 | 2.2 |
| Professional | 6.0 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 1.2 |
| Nursing | 10.8 | 8.9 | 1.9 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 0.9 | 15.8 | 13.7 | 2.1 |
| Technical | 10.1 | 7.5 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 12.6 | 10.1 | 2.5 |
| Support staff | 11.2 | 8.8 | 2.4 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 0.9 | 16.3 | 14.0 | 2.3 |
| Social and public service | 9.1 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 9.2 | 7.3 | 1.9 |
| Legal, social and religious | 9.3 | 6.7 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 1.8 |
| Teachers and professors | 8.8 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 8.7 | 6.7 | 2.0 |
| High school and elementary | 10.2 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 10.0 | 7.7 | 2.4 |
| Other | 5.3 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 1.2 |
| Culture and recreation | 8.0 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 6.7 | 4.9 | 1.8 |
| Sales and service | 7.2 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 9.3 | 7.7 | 1.6 |
| Wholesale | 6.4 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 1.5 |
| Retail | 7.2 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 7.3 | 1.8 |
| Food and beverage | 6.2 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 1.8 |
| Protective services | 7.7 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 11.2 | 9.9 | 1.2 |
| Childcare and home support | 9.7 | 6.6 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 10.6 | 7.7 | 2.9 |
| Travel and accommodation | 7.7 | 6.1 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 10.5 | 9.1 | 1.5 |
| Trades, transport and equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contractors and supervisors | 6.1 | 3.9 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 6.4 | 5.0 | 1.4 |
| Construction trades | 7.3 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 8.5 | 6.8 | 1.7 |
| Other trades | 7.3 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 1.5 |
| Transport equipment operators | s 7.5 | 5.8 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 11.4 | 10.0 | 1.4 |
| Helpers and labourers | 8.8 | 6.4 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 10.0 | 8.5 | 1.5 |
| Occupations unique to primary industry | 5.9 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 7.7 | 5.7 | 2.0 |
| Occupations unique to production | 8.8 | 6.6 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 11.1 | 9.6 | 1.5 |
| Machine operators and assemblers | 8.7 | 6.4 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 10.9 | 9.3 | 1.6 |
| Labourers | 9.5 | 7.9 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 12.2 | 11.1 | 1.1 |

1. Excluding maternity leave. However, men on paid paternity (in Quebec only) or parental leave are included in the calculation until 2006.
2. Absent workers divided by total.
3. Hours absent divided by hours usually worked.
4. Inactivity rate multiplied by working days in year (250).

Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 5 Absence rates for full-time employees by workplace size, job tenure, job status and union coverage, 2010 ${ }^{1}$

|  | Incidence ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Inactivity rate ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Days lost per worker in year ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Own Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities | Total | Own Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities | Total | Own Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities |
|  |  | \% |  |  | \% |  |  | days |  |
| Workplace size 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 8.0 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 1.7 |
| Under 20 employees | 6.7 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 7.3 | 5.6 | 1.7 |
| 20 to 99 employees | 8.1 | 5.8 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 9.0 | 7.3 | 1.7 |
| 100 to 500 employees | 8.7 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 10.5 | 8.8 | 1.7 |
| Over 500 employees | 9.2 | 6.7 | 2.5 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 11.1 | 9.2 | 1.9 |
| Job tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 8.0 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 1.7 |
| 1 to 12 months | 6.6 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 1.6 |
| Over 1 to 5 years | 7.6 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 8.3 | 6.7 | 1.6 |
| Over 5 to 9 years | 8.5 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 9.4 | 7.4 | 2.0 |
| Over 9 to 14 years | 8.8 | 6.3 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 10.8 | 8.9 | 1.9 |
| Over 14 years | 8.7 | 6.6 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 11.3 | 9.7 | 1.6 |
| Job status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 8.0 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 1.7 |
| Permanent | 8.1 | 5.8 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 9.3 | 7.6 | 1.7 |
| Non-permanent | 6.5 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 6.7 | 4.9 | 1.8 |
| Union coverage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 8.0 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 1.7 |
| Union member or covered by collective agreement | 10.3 | 7.8 | 2.5 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 12.9 | 10.9 | 2.0 |
| Non-unionized | 6.8 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 1.6 |

1. Excluding maternity leave. However, men on paid paternity (in Quebec only) or parental leave are included in the calculation until 2006.
2. Absent workers divided by total.
3. Hours absent divided by hours usually worked.
4. Inactivity rate multiplied by working days in year (250).

Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table $6 \begin{aligned} & \text { Absence rates for full-time employees by province, region and census metropolitan } \\ & \text { area (CMA), 20101 }\end{aligned}$

|  | Incidence ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Inactivity rate ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Days lost per worker in year ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities | Total | Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities | Total | Illness or disability | Personal or family responsibilities |
|  |  | \% |  |  | \% |  |  | days |  |
| Province and region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 8.0 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 1.7 |
| Atlantic | 8.4 | 6.2 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 10.2 | 8.6 | 1.6 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador | 8.4 | 6.2 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 11.0 | 9.2 | 1.8 |
| Prince Edward Island | 7.6 | 5.2 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 9.2 | 7.4 | 1.8 |
| Nova Scotia | 8.4 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.7 | 9.8 | 8.1 | 1.7 |
| New Brunswick | 8.5 | 6.4 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 10.4 | 9.1 | 1.3 |
| Quebec | 8.6 | 6.2 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 10.4 | 8.9 | 1.5 |
| Ontario | 7.6 | 5.2 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 8.2 | 6.3 | 1.9 |
| Prairies | 8.0 | 5.6 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 8.8 | 6.9 | 1.9 |
| Manitoba | 9.1 | 6.5 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 10.4 | 8.5 | 1.9 |
| Saskatchewan | 8.6 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 9.6 | 7.6 | 2.0 |
| Alberta | 7.5 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 8.1 | 6.2 | 1.9 |
| British Columbia | 7.6 | 5.8 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 9.3 | 7.9 | 1.4 |
| All CMAs | 7.9 | 5.6 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 8.6 | 7.0 | 1.7 |
| St. John's | 9.3 | 6.4 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 10.9 | 8.8 | 2.0 |
| Halifax | 8.7 | 6.3 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 9.4 | 7.7 | 1.7 |
| Saint John | 8.4 | 6.4 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 10.3 | 9.0 | 1.3 |
| Moncton | 8.1 | 5.9 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 8.8 | 7.4 | 1.4 |
| Saguenay | 7.9 | 5.7 | F | 4.0 | 3.5 | F | 9.9 | 8.6 | F |
| Québec | 8.5 | 6.3 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 9.8 | 8.5 | 1.3 |
| Montréal | 8.4 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 9.5 | 8.1 | 1.4 |
| Trois-Rivières | 7.6 | 5.8 | F | 3.6 | 3.3 | F | 9.1 | 8.1 | F |
| Sherbrooke | 8.3 | 6.2 | F | 4.6 | 4.0 | F | 11.4 | 10.0 | F |
| Gatineau | 12.4 | 8.7 | 3.6 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 12.9 | 10.4 | 2.5 |
| Ottawa | 9.9 | 6.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 8.7 | 6.4 | 2.3 |
| Kingston | 9.0 | 6.1 | F | 3.8 | 2.9 | F | 9.5 | 7.3 | F |
| Barrie | 8.7 | 6.2 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 9.4 | 7.9 | 1.6 |
| Brantford | 7.4 | 5.1 | F | 3.2 | 2.4 | F | 8.0 | 5.9 | F |
| Greater Sudbury/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peterborough | 8.5 | 5.4 | F | 3.8 | 2.9 | F | 9.5 | 7.2 | F |
| Guelph | 8.4 | 5.9 | F | 4.2 | 3.3 | F | 10.4 | 8.2 | F |
| Toronto | 7.0 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 7.2 | 5.4 | 1.8 |
| Hamilton | 7.3 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 8.8 | 7.4 | 1.4 |
| St. Catharines-Niagara | 7.5 | 5.4 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 9.4 | 7.6 | 1.7 |
| London | 7.9 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 8.8 | 7.6 | 1.3 |
| Windsor | 7.0 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 8.3 | 6.2 | 2.1 |
| Kitchener-Waterloo | 7.8 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 8.1 | 6.5 | 1.7 |
| Oshawa | 7.5 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 8.1 | 6.4 | 1.7 |
| Thunder Bay | 9.6 | 7.1 | F | 4.6 | 3.9 | F | 11.5 | 9.8 | F |
| Winnipeg | 9.3 | 6.8 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 10.3 | 8.6 | 1.7 |
| Regina | 9.0 | 6.5 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 9.2 | 7.4 | 1.8 |
| Saskatoon | 7.3 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 1.4 |
| Calgary | 6.9 | 4.9 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 1.6 |
| Edmonton | 8.3 | 5.9 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 8.8 | 6.9 | 1.9 |
| Abbotsford | 7.5 | 5.9 | F | 3.7 | 3.0 | F | 9.2 | 7.6 | F |
| Vancouver | 7.1 | 5.5 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 8.5 | 7.3 | 1.2 |
| Victoria | 9.2 | 6.7 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 10.6 | 8.6 | 2.0 |
| Non-CMAs | 8.2 | 5.7 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 10.3 | 8.4 | 1.9 |
| Population centres | 8.2 | 6.0 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 10.1 | 8.4 | 1.7 |

1. Excluding maternity leave. However, men on paid paternity (in Quebec only) or parental leave are included in the calculation until 2006.
2. Absent workers divided by total.
3. Hours absent divided by hours usually worked.
4. Inactivity rate multiplied by working days in year (250).

Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.


[^0]:    For further information, contact Sharanjit Uppal, Labour Statistics Division. He can be reached at 613-951-3887 or sharanjit.uppal@,statcan.g..ca.

[^1]:    1. Excluding maternity leave. However, men on paid paternity (in Quebec only) or parental leave are included in the calculation until 2006.
    2. Absent workers divided by total.
    3. Hours absent divided by hours usually worked.
    4. Inactivity rate multiplied by working days in year (250).

    Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

